Health Effects of Chernobyl and Fukushima: 30 and 5 years down the line



Commissioned by Greenpeace Brussels 2016

CONTENTS

CONTENTS	
	Page
General abbreviations, unit abbreviation, and terminology	3
1 Introduction	7
2 Chernobyl: Health effects associated with the nuclear catastrophe	2
2.1 Radiation Exposure 30 years later	
2.1.1 The number of victims of the Chernobyl catastrophe	
2.1.2 Radiation doses of clean-up workers	9
2.1.3 Dosimetry of evacuees	11
	11
2.1.4 Population irradiation doses 2.2 Recognized of consequences	16
2.2. Thyroid cancer	10
2.2.2 Leukemia	19
2.2.3 Other cancers	20
2.2.4 Breast cancer	20
2.2.5 Cataract	22
2.2.6 Mental health	23
2.3 Obvious, but not recognized consequences	25
2.3 Obvious, but not recognized consequences	26
2.3.2 Territorial redistribution of popul ^r ion	27
2.3.3 Degradation of population s ucture of the radioactively contaminated	
territories	28
2.3.4 Birth rate	29
2.3.5 Mortality	30
2.3.5.1 Mortality in population of radiologically contaminated territories	31
2.3.5.2 Mortality victims of the Chernobyl catastrophe	32
2.3.6 Demographic loss	34
2.3.7 Vital index g up popul tion	35
2.3.8 Disability,	37
2.3.9 Non-cancer Health E fects	38
2.3.9.1 C' lidre, hea ¹ +h	38
2.3.9.2] iseases (cardiovascular system	42
2.3.9.31 suropsy hiatric effects	42
2 .9. Ge. tin ifects	46
3. Reh. 'vilitation	49
3.1 Uk pine	49
2 2 Belaras	50
3.3 R ssian Federation	51
¹ Future of the radioactively contaminated territories (N. Omelianets)	53
4 Fukushima: Health effects associated with the nuclear catastrophe	57
4.1 Radiation Exposure 5 years later	57
4.2 Certain consequences 5 years later	61
4.2.1 Thyroid cancer	61

4.2.2. Non-cancer Health Effects of the Fukushima catastrophe	63
4.2.3. Mental health impact	65
4.3 Expected consequences	68
5 Health Effects of Chernobyl and Fukushima: An Overview5.1 IAEA, WHO, and UNSCEAR reports: methodological issues	71 71
Conclusions	75
References	81
Authors	99

GENERAL ABBREVIATIONS, UNIT ABBREVIATIONS, AND TERMINOLOGY

AMS – Academy of Medical Sciences.

ACS DB DEMOSMONITOR - Automated control system of data bases of monitoring of medical and demographic consequences of Chernobyl catastrophe.

ARS - Acute Radiation Syndrome.

ATR - Attributive risk.

BSSR - Belorussian Soviet Socialistic Republic.

Bq (kBq) - Becquerel (Bq $\cdot 10^3$), radioactivity unit, in the SI system.

CER - Clinical and Epidemiological Register.

CFS - Chronic Fatigue Syndrome.

CLL - Chronic lymphoid leukaemia.

CI - Confidence Interval.

 $\text{Ci}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}$ - level of radioactive contamination of the territory, putdatedny system unit (1 $\text{Ci}\cdot\text{km}^{-2} = 37 \text{ kBq}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)

CNS - Central Nervous System.

DCS - Diseases of the Circulatory System.

DS – Department of Statistics of Ukraine.

CMU - Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine.

EAR - Excess Absolute Risk.

ERR - Excessive Relative Risk.

ED – Effective Dose.

FGI - French-German Initiative for Cherpobyl.

Gy - Grey, absorbed dose unit, in the 51 syst n.

GR - Growth Rate.

IAEA - International Atomic Er .rgy \gency

ICD - International Classifies , n of Discuss.

IChP-1991 - International Cherne vl Project.

ICRP – International Con ission o. Radiological Protection.

IPHECA - International P og. m on Health Effects of the Chernobyl Accident.

IQ - Intelligence Quo, ent.

JSDF - Japan Se ... e Force.

 $kBq \cdot m^{-2}$ - level of r: " oct. e contamination of the territory, in the SI system.

ME - Ministry of Ukra ne of Emergencies and Affairs of Population Protection from the Consequences c. Cnc. rob⁻¹ C atastrophe.

MH - Ministry t r Health.

MJAU Ministr of Internal Affairs of Ukraine.

A. SU Not onal Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine.

NASU National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

CRPU - National Commission on Radiation Protection of Population of Ukraine.

N. ^P - Nuclear Power Plant.

NRER - National Radiation and Epidemiological Registry.

C x - Odds Ratio.

PTSD – Post-traumatic Stress Disorder.

RADRUE - Realistic Analytical Dose Reconstruction and Uncertainty Analysis.

RCR - Radioactively Contaminated Rayon.

RCT – Radioactively Contaminated Territories.

Rem - roentgen equivalent in man, the biological equivalent of Roentgen, outdatednonsystem unit for effective expose dose, 1 rem=0.01 Sv. RF - Russian Federation.

RR - Relative Risk.

RSFSR – Russian Soviet Federation Socialistic Republic.

RSSU_97 - Radiation Safety Standard of Ukraine_97.

NRCRM - State Institution «National Research Centre for Radiation Medicine of NAMS of Ukraine».

SIR – Standardized Incidence Ratio.

SRU - The State register of Ukraine of the persons survived after the C¹ crno. ¹ catastrophe», State Registry of Ukraine.

Sv (mSv) - Sievert (milliSievert) - effective dose unit, in the SI system.

TEPCO - Tokyo Electric Power Company.

UACOS – Ukrainian-American Chernobyl Ocular Study.

UNSCEAR – United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of A⁺ mic Rac. tion.

USSR - The Union of Soviet Socialistic Republics.

UkrSSR - The Ukrainian Soviet Socialistic Republic.

WHO - World Health Organization.

Clean-up workers (liquidators, recovery operation vork s, Chernobyl emergency workers) - citizens of the USSR including the UkrSSR when has participated in any activities connected with damage control and mitigation of the catas when the it its consequences in the exclusion zone regardless of number of working days in 1°86-1°87, and at least 30 calendar days in 1988-1990. Citizens temporarily sent on mission to work in the exclusion zone, including servicemen, employees of state, public and other entermise establishments and organizations irrespective from their departmental relation, and a to the se who worked at least 14 days in 1986 at functioning points of population sanitary treatment a decontamination of technical devices or at their building are also attributed to the clean up work ers

Radioactive contamination - presence of randoactive substances in or on a material or the human body or elsewhere being under rability or potentially harmful. Units of measurements are: $Bq\cdot l^{-1}$, $Bq\cdot kg^{-1}$, $Bq\cdot m^{-2}$, $Ci\cdot l^{-1}$, $Ci\cdot kg^{-1}$, $Ci\cdot kg^{-1}$.

Radiation effect - effects, for which a causative role of radiation exposure is proven; there are deterministic and stochastic effects.

Radioactively contamin te. territories (RCT) – territories in Ukraine (Law of Ukraine, 1991a) with a stable contamination of environment by radioactive substances above a pre-accidental level, that with due regression for the atural-climatic and complex ecological characteristics of specific territories could result to in the atural-climation to above 1.0 mSv (0.1 rem) per year, and which requires measures of radiation protection of population. Territories subjected to radioactively contamination, the on fided in cones:

1) *exc usion zo e* is a territory, which has been radioactively contaminated after the Chernobyl cat. trophe, nd from which the population has been evacuated in 1986.

 $^{\circ}$ / zc · e o_{3} · b¹, atory (compulsory) resettlement is a territory exposed to intensive long halflife r nonucle contamination with density of soil deposition at a threshold values of 15.0 Ci·km⁻² (5⁵ 5 k °q·m⁻²) and above for isotopes of caesium, or 3.0 Ci·km⁻² (111 kBq·m⁻²) and more for rontium, or 0.1 Ci·km⁻² (3.7 kBq·m⁻²) and over for plutonium. As a result the average bysett¹ ment radiation dose of an equivalent human irradiation dose in a view of factors of nonuclides migration to the plants and other factors can exceed 5.0 mSv (0.5 rem) per one year is above in edose levels, been received in the pre-accident period;

3) zone of guaranteed voluntary resettlement is a territory with soil contamination density by isotopes of caesium from 5.0 up to 15.0 Ci·km⁻² (185 up to 555 kBq·m⁻²), or strontium from 0.15 up to 3.0 Ci·km⁻² (5.55 up to 111 kBq·m⁻²), or plutonium from 0,01 up to 0.1 Ci·km⁻² (0.37 up to 3.7 kBq·m⁻²), where the average settlement of an equivalent human irradiation dose in a view of factors

of radionuclide migration to the plants and other factors can exceed 1.0 mSv (0.1 rem) per one year above the doses, been received in the pre-accident period;

4) zone of strict radio-ecological control is a territory with soil contamination density by isotopes of caesium from 1.0 up to 5.0 Ci·km⁻² (37 up to 187 kBq·m⁻²), or strontium from 0.02 up to 0.15 Ci·km⁻² (0.74 up to 1.85 kBq·m⁻²), or plutonium from 0.005 up to 0.01 Ci·km⁻² (0.185 up to 0.37 kBq·m⁻²) provided that the average settlement of an equivalent human irradiation dose in a view of factors of radionuclide migration to the plants and other factors exceeds 0.5 mSv (0.0⁵ rem) per one year above the doses, been received in the pre-accident period.

Resettlement - because of possible exceeding of a life dose over 350 mSv in the 1 habitants of the RCT the Government of the USSR in 1990 has accepted the decision to reset is from these districts in UkrSR, BSSR and RSFSR more than 200.000 people. About 50.000 prosons had be resettled to the clean districts in UkrSSR. The resettlement had to be carried out 1991-1992. Further, in Ukraine the resettlement proceeded from zones of obligatory (compalsory) to ettlement, guaranteed voluntary resettlement and strict radio-ecological control.

Chernobyl catastrophe survivors. The following population grous in Jkraine are recognised as the Chernobyl catastrophe survivors:

1) evacuees from the exclusion zone (including persons who the moment of evacuation were at a fetal life period, later they have been born and become the a lub persons nowadays) and person who had moved from zones of obligatory (computersory, relation and guaranteed voluntarily resettlement;

2) individuals been permanently resident within the territories of obligatory (compulsory) and guaranteed voluntarily resettlement zones at the moment of the catastrophe, or having resided at least for two years on the territory of obligatory (compulsory) esettlement zone as of January 1, 1993, or at least for three years within the territories of guaranteed voluntarily resettlement zone, and individuals relocated or migrated themselves from the acceleration of the settlement zone.

3) individuals been permanently rescalent $c_{\rm v}$ we king in zones of obligatory (compulsory) and guaranteed voluntarily resettlement uncer condit on that they have lived or worked there in the zone of obligatory (compulsory) resettlement for at least two years as of 1, January, 1993, and in the zone of guaranteed voluntarily resettlement – non-neast three years;

4) individuals been permanent, resident or working within territories of strict radioecological control zone under the condition that they have lived or worked there for at least four years as of January 1, 1993;

5) individuals having wrked temporary since the moment of the catastrophe till July 1, 1986 for at least 14 c and dars d ys or at least 3 months during 1986-1987 on the territory of obligatory (compulso i) retainent at zone under the condition that they were sent to that zone by an order of ministries, estab shments, executive committees of oblast Councils of Peoples' Deputies;

6) chil ren with thyroid irradiation doses exceeding the threshold levels established by the MH of Ukrain

Note

1. Un. of measurement used in the report are those presented in submitted documents. Lecalcula on in the International system units is stated in brackets behind them.

2. T rritory of and Ukraine and of Belarus consists of several provinces (called "oblasts"), in turn th "oblast" consists of several districts (such district is called "rayon" or region).

3. "b name for the city of Kiev in Ukrainian is "Kyiv", and for the city of Chernobyl is "Chornobyl". The spellings "Kiev" and "Chernobyl" are used in this report being known and recognised internationally.

5 HEALTH EFFECTS OF CHERNOBYL AND FUKUSHIMA: AN OVERVIEW

5.1 IAEA, WHO, and UNSCEAR reports: methodological issues

At the initiative of the IAEA an UN Chernobyl Forum was created in 2003. It was composed of experts from the IAEA, WHO, several departments of the United Nations, and representatives of the governments of Ukraine, Belarus and Russia. This organisation has the objective of arriving at a clear scientific consensus about the consequences of the Chernobyl NPP catastroph. The results of the researches are published in reports of the UN Chernobyl Forum (Report, 2005; 'Health, 2005; Chernobyl's Legacy, 2006).

The positive fact is that the Chernobyl forum declared the Chernobyl NPF atastr phe as "the greatest nuclear disaster in human history". It summarized a large a nount of a surch, which is most important for the human community in answering the question (a) possible radiation hazards to the health.

The provisions presented in Reports are the following:

(a) For the health of clean-up workers, evacuees, and people the ived in contaminated areas the irradiation is not dangerous, as at most 3,940 individuals to up die from cancer due to radiation exposure;

(b) The radiation from the accident is not harmfu. to prople because from many thousands of the thyroid cancer cases there are only 9 documented deals from this disease in children and adults in the three affected countries at this time;

(c) The radiation from the accident is not ha nful to humans because direct radiationepidemiological studies have not for d a v assolation of the exposure with an increase of mortality in the total population, in the ticular of contrality from leukemia and solid cancers (except the thyroid cancer in children) or growth of the non-cancer diseases vs. the spontaneous level;

(d) The people who received an a ditional consume doses of the low level die from the same causes as the people, who are not accident curvivors. The actual number of deaths caused by the accident can never be exactly known.

(e) No convincing evid \dots was t vealed of an increased incidence of leukemia among children or adults who reside in the exp and to ritories in Russia and Ukraine;

(f) The obtained doses were enerally small and therefore not harmful to humans; there is no evidence of any radia on $e^{ff_{c}}$ is on Diseases of the Circulatory System and mortality from them;

(g) The catar stogenes can occur at radiation of lower than those observed before, namely to about 250 mG

(h) Among the population that survived after the accident there is no evidence or any possible cases of the decreas d male and female fertility as a direct result of radiation exposure. The radiation do les a b also unlikely to affect the number of stillbirths, pregnancy outcomes, and complications of nildbirth or children's health;

(i) ⁷ ne levels of fertility in contaminated areas can be lower through the fear to have children and h^{+} in c⁺ lence of medical abortions. Because of low risk ratios no significant growth in hereditary effect to caused by radiation is expected;

(k) The large number of thyroid cancer cases is the only confirmed result of the radiation impact;

(1) The affected countries unreasonably spent resources to protect the people from radiation.

The experts of the Chernobyl forum recognize only the presence of radiation induced effects, which are associated with acute exposure at the high doses (ARS, thyroid cancer, leukemia, solid cancers).

As for the DCS identified in the clean-up workers in Russia the experts make comments that these data should be interpreted cautiously in connection with possible influence of additional factors such as stress and unhealthy lifestyle.

The methodology of work of the experts was based on the analysis of peer-reviewed literature and some selected international journals. In this time the valuable results of scientific works of researchers from the different countries of the world were ignored.

Evaluation of the radiation effects and calculation of risk were conducted on the dat. from Abombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Such approach to calculation resulted in a red ced blue of risk from the Chernobyl because there was quite another nature and level of exporter after the Abombing.

The Chernobyl fallout and radiation doses not observed or registered in a v count es except in Belarus, Ukraine and Russia have not been discussed in the reports.

The conclusions of the Chernobyl Forum were opposed by international equivalence (Greenpeace, 2006) and scientists (Horishna, 2006; Burlakova, 2006; Yable vov *t ai* 2009; Masurenko, V. 2010).

The data of this Report do not confirm the opinion of the extern of the Chernobyl Forum. The epidemiological studies in recent years and conclusions of schemests suggest that:

1) An increased incidence of thyroid cancer is registered not cover among children and adolescents, but also in adults, namely in the clean-up workers and evolutes;

2) The increased risk of leukemia development in u clean-up workers is proven. The fact of a dose-dependent nature of chronic lymphocy' cleuk nia in the clean-up workers was proved for the first time in Ukraine;

3) There is an the increased risk of bre? c can ver in fe nale clean-up workers;

4) There is an increased risk of malic. In tumo. I. Ukrainian and Russian clean-up workers;

5) There is an increased risk of radiation patracts.

Taking into account the long lagency periods of the development of radiation-induced cancer of many organs and systems, 1 is 1 cess ry to continue the monitoring of this disease in a remote post-accident period.

Various non-cancer health effects in 10-27 years after the catastrophe that have been proven:

- Cardiovascul? dise. e and cortality in the clean-up workers;
- Vascular ey disease) different groups of exposed persons;
- Cerebrovascu ar disea e and cognitive dysfunction in the clean-up workers;

- Thyro' 1 at orn. 1;+: 3;

- Mer nal hea, ' disorders in children exposed in utero.

Lata for be 30 years of observations show that the Chernobyl catastrophe and its consequences have caused harm to the survivors. These data give a reason to believe that estimates made by the L^{2} EA ar . WHO on the 20th anniversary of the Chernobyl catastrophe were understated.

In contrast to Chernobyl, after the catastrophe at Fukushima on March 11, 2011 in Japan the existing rules of the alert of the national and international authorities and organizations about the catastrophe have been complied (Fukushima Daiichi NPP, 2011). The IAEA joined the cooperation to render help in the investigation of the causes and consequences of the catastrophe. Its report was presented to the Ministers on issues of nuclear safety on the meeting in Vienna on June 20-24, 2011

(Fukushima. Report of IAEA, 2011). Recognition of the fact that the Japanese government, developers and operators of the Fukushima Daiichi NPP have underestimated the danger of tsunamis was the main conclusion of the report. Some more reports followed. A report on the supposed consequences of the catastrophe at Fukushima NPP on the health of the Japanese was prepared in 2013 (Fukushima. Report of IAEA, 2013). In May 2015 the IAEA published a report on liquidation of the consequences of the catastrophe at Fukushima NPP. At the 59th General conference of IAEA in Vienna (September, 2015) a fundamental report was presented above the organisation of liquidation of consequences of the Fukushima catastrophe. The report inclusively is the technical tomes of applications (Fukushima. Report of IAEA, 2015).

Taking into account current information technology the experts and public have de unrest sted opportunities to receive information about the catastrophe at Fukushima and an argements on liquidation of its consequences.

(D. Yzyk , 1 Omelianets)